

AGENDA MANAGEMENT SHEET

Name of Committee

Audit And Standards Committee

Date of Committee

18 November 2008

Report Title

Codes of Conduct for local authority members and employees -a consultation

Summary

This report advises members of a new government consultation about local authority codes of conduct for members and employees. The deadline for responses is Wednesday 24 December 2008.

For further information please contact:

Jane Pollard
Corporate Legal Services
Manager
Tel: 01926 412565
janepollard@warwickshire.gov.uk
No.

Would the recommended decision be contrary to the Budget and Policy Framework?

Background papers

None

CONSULTATION ALREADY UNDERTAKEN:-

Details to be specified

- Other Committees
- Local Member(s) N/A
- Other Elected Members
- Cabinet Member
- Chief Executive
- Legal Jane Pollard
- Finance
- Other Strategic Directors
- District Councils
- Health Authority

Police

Other Bodies/Individuals

FINAL DECISION NO

SUGGESTED NEXT STEPS:

Details to be specified

Further consideration by this Committee

To Council

To Cabinet 11 December 2008

To an O & S Committee

To an Area Committee

Further Consultation

Agenda No 3

Audit And Standards Committee - 18 November 2008.

Codes of Conduct for local authority members and employees -A consultation

Report of the Strategic Director of Performance and Development

Recommendation

That the committee comments on the proposals from government.

Introduction

1. The government published Communities in control: Real people, real power: Codes of conduct for local authority members and employees – A consultation paper on 1 October 2008. The deadline for responses is 24 December 2008.
2. The consultation paper invites views on proposals for revising the Local Authorities (Model Code of Conduct) Order 2007 and the Relevant Authorities (General Principles) Order 2001. It also seeks views on the proposed introduction of a model code of conduct for local government employees. The government's proposals are set in full in the following paragraphs which are extracts from the consultation paper.

A Governments Proposed Revisions to the Members Code

3. We are proposing that the members' code be restructured by revoking the existing Order and making a new one. We propose that the new members' code will be differently formatted to the existing code, making it easier to interpret and clearer in its application, for instance by dividing it into two sections: the first dealing with members' conduct when acting in an official capacity and reflecting what is in the current code, the second dealing with members' conduct in their non-official capacity.

Application of the code to members' conduct in their non-official capacity

4. It has always been our intention for the members' code to apply to a limited extent to the conduct of members in a non-official capacity. We wish now to clarify which provisions of the members' code apply in a member's official capacity and to put beyond doubt which provisions apply to a member's

conduct in a non-official capacity.

5. Trust in our local authority members is one of the cornerstones of local democracy. Members should inspire trust and confidence from those who elected them, set an example of leadership for their communities and should be expected to act lawfully even when they are not acting in their role as members.
6. The need to clarify what conduct in a member's non-official capacity is covered by the members' code arose as a consequence of a court judgment in 2006. This cast doubt on the ability of the code to cover members' conduct not linked to the performance of their public duties. As was made clear by Ministers during the passage of the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007, we consider that certain behaviour, even when there is no direct link to the member's official role, can have an adverse effect on the level of public trust in local authority members and local government as a whole.
7. We propose therefore that the new members' code should, in the section covering the conduct of members in their non-official capacity, contain the following provision prohibiting particular conduct where that conduct would constitute a criminal offence:

"Members must not bring their office or authority into disrepute by conduct which is a criminal offence".

Consultation Question 1:

Do you agree that the members' code should apply to a member's conduct when acting in their non-official capacity?

Definition of 'criminal offence' and 'official capacity'

8. We propose for the purpose of the members' code, that 'criminal offence' be defined as any criminal offence for which the member has been convicted in a criminal court, but for which the member does not have the opportunity of paying a fixed penalty instead of facing a criminal conviction.
9. Our intention is that offences capable of attracting fixed penalty notices should be excluded from the remit of the conduct regime. We consider that this approach will ensure that the most minor criminal offences, for example minor motoring offences, parking offences and dropping litter as well as cautions and orders falling short of a criminal conviction by a court, will not be included in the remit of the members' code. However, serious criminal offences which we consider should come under the remit of the members' code, such as assault, harassment, fraud and offences relating to child pornography will be included in the remit of the code.
10. We propose that the Standards Board for England will issue guidance for local authority standards committees on how a criminal offence should be treated in its application to the conduct regime.

Consultation Question 2:

Do you agree with this definition of 'criminal offence' for the purpose of the members' code? If not, what other definition would you support, for instance should it include police cautions? Please give details.

Official Capacity

11. We propose that for the purposes of the members' code, 'official capacity' be defined as being engaged in the business of your authority, including the business of the office to which you are elected or appointed, or acting, claiming to act or giving the impression that you are acting as a representative of your authority.

Consultation Question 3:

Do you agree with this definition of 'official capacity' for the purpose of the members' code? If not, what other definition would you support? Please give details.

Offending abroad

12. We also propose that the members' code would engage with conduct committed in a foreign country, where that conduct constitutes a criminal offence in that country, but only where the conduct would also constitute a criminal offence if it was committed in the UK. However, the code would only apply if the individual was convicted in the country in which the offence was committed.

Consultation Question 4:

Do you agree that the members' code should only apply where a criminal offence and conviction abroad would have been a criminal offence if committed in the UK?

What does this mean?

13. Our proposals would have the effect of providing that the only conduct in a member's non-official capacity which is engaged by the code, is conduct which constitutes a criminal offence, as defined in paragraph 2.10 above. The code may only then be applied to that conduct when the evidence that the member's conduct constituted a criminal offence is provided by the criminal conviction of the member in the courts.

14. This would mean, for example, that a member who was convicted of a criminal offence of assault or harassment could be held to have breached the code, even if the conduct, which led to the conviction took place entirely outside the member's official capacity.

The conduct regime

15. At present, investigations into alleged breaches of the members' code are triggered by a written allegation made to the standards committee of the local authority concerned. We propose that this continue to be the case when dealing with allegations of misconduct in relation to a member's conduct in their non-official capacity.

16. Where the allegation involves criminal activity that is, at the time of the allegation being made, being investigated by the police or prosecuted through the courts, we propose that the standards committee or the Standards Board, as the case may be, would cease their investigation process until the criminal process had been completed. Any subsequent action under the conduct regime in respect of a member's private conduct would follow the conclusion of the criminal procedure. The member would not be suspended during the period of the criminal process.
17. For the purpose of the conduct regime, the criminal process will be considered to have been completed at the conclusion of any appeals process.

Consultation Question 5:

Do you agree that an ethical investigation should not proceed until the criminal process has been completed?

Other Proposed revisions to the members' code

18. This consultation paper also seeks views on the following amendments which we propose to make to the provisions of the existing code. The proposed amendments reflect discussions with the Standards Board and, in particular, the Board's experience of the practical operation of the code over the last year.

Parish councils

19. It has been suggested that article 2(5) of the Local Authorities (Model Code of Conduct) Order 2007 be amended to apply paragraph 12(2) to parish councils, to make it mandatory for parish councils that a member with a prejudicial interest may make representations at a meeting only if members of the public are able to attend that meeting for the same purpose. Currently, if a parish council wishes this provision to apply, it must make a conscious decision to adopt paragraph 12(2) into its code. This amendment would save unnecessary administration and ensure consistency across parish councils.

Membership of other bodies

20. It has been suggested that paragraphs 8(1)(a)(i) and (ii) of the current members' code be amended to clarify that the sections are referring to other bodies that you are a member of or which exercise functions of a public nature, putting it beyond doubt that this is not a reference to the authority itself.

Personal interests

21. It has been suggested that current wording of paragraph 8(1)(a) of the members' code could be amended to clarify that a member is required to register a gift or hospitality with an estimated value of at least £25 in his or her register of members' interests.

Prejudicial interests

22. It has been suggested that paragraph 10(2) of the code be amended to remove the double negative in the current drafting, to make it clear that a prejudicial interest exists where the business of your authority affects your financial position or the financial position of a person listed in paragraph 8 of the code or it relates to the determining of any approval, consent, licence, permission or registration in relation to you or those persons listed in paragraph 8 of the code.
23. It has been suggested that the meaning of 'determining' in paragraph 10(2)(b) could be clarified to include variation, attaching, removing or amending conditions, waiving or revoking applications.
24. It has also been suggested that paragraph 10(2)(c) could be amended to clarify that a member would not have a prejudicial interest in the business of the authority where that business related to giving evidence before a local authority standards committee hearing regarding an allegation that a member of the authority had failed to comply with the code.

Registration of members' interests

25. We propose that any new members' code would take into account any existing registration of members' interests. This will ensure that members who have already registered their interests in line with the 2007 model code do not have to repeat the process when the revised members' code is introduced.

Consultation Question 6:

Do you think that the amendments to the members' code suggested above are required? Are there any other drafting amendments which would be helpful? If so, please could you provide details of your suggested amendments?

Consultation Question 7:

Are there any aspects of conduct currently included in the members' code that are not required? If so, please could you specify which aspects and the reasons why you hold this view?

Consultation Question 8:

Are there any aspects of conduct in a member's official capacity not specified in the members' code that should be included? Please give details.

26. We propose that members will have two months from the date their authority adopts the new code to give a written undertaking that they will observe their authority's code. Failure to do so will mean that they cease to be members of the authority.

Consultation Question 9:

Does the proposed timescale of two months, during which a member must give an undertaking to observe the members' code, starting from the date the authority adopts the code, provide members with sufficient time to undertake to observe the code?

B Governments Proposed revisions to the General Principles

27. We propose that the Relevant Authorities (General Principles) Order 2001 be amended to make clear which principles govern the conduct of members when acting in an official capacity and which principles will apply to the conduct of members when acting in a non-official capacity, where the member's conduct would constitute a criminal offence.

28. We propose that the General Principles Order be amended by providing that the 10 existing principles apply to a member when acting in an official capacity and by adding a new principle which would be specified as applying to a member acting in an non-official capacity, where the member's conduct would constitute a criminal offence. We propose that the following be added to the Schedule of the Relevant Authorities (General Principles) Order 2001:

Duty to abide by the law

Members should not engage in conduct which constitutes a criminal offence.

Consultation Question 10:

Do you agree with the addition of this new general principle, applied specifically to conduct in a member's non-official capacity?

Definition of 'criminal offence' and 'official capacity'

29. Section 49 of the Local Government Act 2000 enables the Secretary of State to define what constitutes a 'criminal offence' and what constitutes 'official capacity' in the context of the General Principles Order. For the purposes of the revised General Principles Order, we propose that 'criminal offence' be defined as any conduct that has resulted in a criminal conviction.

Consultation Question 11:

Do you agree with this broad definition of 'criminal offence' for the purpose of the General Principles Order? Or do you consider that 'criminal offence' should be defined differently?

30. We propose that for the purposes of the revised General Principles Order, 'official capacity' be defined as "being engaged in the business of your authority, including the business of the office to which you are elected or appointed, or acting, claiming to act or giving the impression that you are acting as a representative of your authority".

Consultation Question 12:

Do you agree with this definition of 'official capacity' for the purpose of the General Principles Order?

C Governments Proposal for a Model Code of Conduct for local government employees

31. A code of conduct for local government employees (“employees’ code”) should provide the staff of an authority with an effective ethical framework within which to work and it should give that authority’s citizens confidence that an authority’s staff are working on their behalf in an appropriate manner.

Consultation Question 13:

Do you agree that a mandatory model code of conduct for local government employees, which would be incorporated into employees’ terms and conditions of employment, is needed?

The employees’ code in context

32. In August 2004, the (then) Office of the Deputy Prime Minister consulted on a model code of conduct for local government employees. Responses indicated that the model code of conduct consulted on was not adequate, but also that the universal application of a code to all staff would be needlessly bureaucratic as all employees would be subject to the same code regardless of their position. There was support for following the model of the Welsh code of conduct, which only applies to a certain category of defined senior officer. Alternatively, the code could be restricted to those who exercise executive, regulatory or overview and scrutiny powers under the authority’s scheme of delegation to officers.
33. Another view in response to the consultation paper was that certain aspects of the code (eg registration of interests), could be limited to senior officers while other more universal aspects should be applicable to all - for instance, it is beyond question that all employees should behave with honesty and integrity.
34. Many local authorities already have a code of conduct for employees in addition to, or part of, their standard terms and conditions of employment. These codes range from simple statements agreeing to act with propriety to comprehensive documents covering everything from political neutrality to intellectual property matters. These codes of conduct are also integrated into the authority’s discipline procedures.
35. It is not intended that the employees’ code be a burden on authorities or employees. The code should not constrain an authority’s ability to develop its own code reflecting local needs and conditions. We consider that authorities should be free to adopt supplementary provisions beyond the employees’ code in order to provide their staff with an effective ethical framework within which to work.

Application of the employees’ code

36. We propose that the employees’ code would apply to all relevant authorities and police authorities in Wales, as defined in Section 49 of the Local Government Act 2000. We are proposing that a model employees’ code - a model code that authorities may augment if they wish - be introduced, which

will be incorporated into local government employees' terms and conditions of employment.

37. However, we do not propose to apply the employees' code where it is not needed, for instance to employees in professions that are covered by their own code of conduct; firefighters, teachers, community support officers, solicitors etc.

Consultation Question 14:

Should we apply the employees' code to firefighters, teachers, community support officers, and solicitors?

Consultation Question 15:

Are there any other categories of employee in respect of whom it is not necessary to apply the code?

38. We propose a two-tier model. The first tier, drawing on the Code of Conduct (Qualifying Local Government Employees) (Wales) Order 2001, will apply equally to all authority employees and will enshrine the core values that it is reasonably expected every authority employee would abide by. The second tier, drawing on the members' code, will apply to 'qualifying employees', that is; either senior officials or those officials carrying out delegated functions.

39. With the members' code in place, and members having to abide by that code, there is a reasonable expectation that officials undertaking functions delegated to them by members would have to abide by the same conduct regime as members when performing those functions.

Proposed core values for all employees

General principles

40. The public is entitled to expect the highest standards of conduct from all local government employees. The role of such employees is to serve their employing authority in providing advice, implementing its policies and delivering services to the local community. In performing their duties, they must act with integrity, honesty, impartiality and objectivity.

Accountability

41. Employees are accountable, and owe a duty to, their employing authority. They must act in accordance with the principles set out in this Code, recognising the duty of all public sector employees to discharge public functions reasonably and according to the law.

Political neutrality

42. Employees, excluding political assistants, must follow every lawfully expressed policy of the authority and must not allow their own personal or political opinions to interfere with their work. Where employees are politically restricted, by reason of the post they hold or the nature of the work they do, they must comply with any statutory restrictions on political activities.

Relations with members, the public and other employees

43. Mutual respect between employees and members is essential to good local government and working relationships should be kept on a professional basis. Employees of relevant authorities should deal with the public, members and other employees sympathetically, efficiently and without bias.

Equality

44. Employees must comply with policies relating to equality issues, as agreed by the authority, in addition to the requirements of the law.

Stewardship

45. Employees of relevant authorities must ensure that they use public funds entrusted to them in a responsible and lawful manner and must not utilise property, vehicles or other facilities of the authority for personal use unless authorised to do so.

Personal interests

46. An employee must not allow their private interests or beliefs to conflict with their professional duty. They must not misuse their official position or information acquired in the course of their employment to further their private interest or the interests of others.
47. Employees should abide by the rules of their authority about the declaration of gifts offered to or received by them from any person or body seeking to do business with the authority or which would benefit from a relationship with that authority. Employees should not accept benefits from a third party unless authorised to do so by their authority.

Whistleblowing

48. Where an employee becomes aware of activities which that employee believes to be illegal, improper, unethical or otherwise inconsistent with the model code of conduct for employees, the employee should report the matter, acting in accordance with the employees rights under the Public Interest Disclosure Act 1998 and with the authority's confidential reporting procedure or any other procedure designed for this purpose.

Treatment of Information

49. Openness in the dissemination of information and decision making should be the norm in authorities. However, certain information may be confidential or sensitive and therefore not appropriate to a wide audience. Where confidentiality is necessary to protect the privacy or other rights of individuals or bodies, information should not be released to anyone other than a member, relevant authority employee or other person who is entitled to receive it, or needs to have access to it for the proper discharge of their functions. Nothing in this Code can be taken as overriding existing statutory or common law obligations to keep certain information confidential, or to divulge certain information.

Appointment of staff

50. Employees of the authority, when involved in the recruitment and appointment of staff, must ensure that appointments are made on the basis of merit. In order to avoid any accusation of bias, those employees must not be involved in any appointment, or any other decision relating to discipline, promotion or pay and conditions for any other employee, or prospective employee, to whom they are related or with whom they have a close personal relationship outside work.

Investigations by monitoring officers

51. Where a monitoring officer is undertaking an investigation in accordance with Part III of the Local Government Act 2000 and associated regulations, employees must comply with any requirement made by that monitoring officer in connection with such an investigation.

Consultation Question 16:

Does the employees' code for all employees correctly reflect the core values that should be enshrined in the code? If not, what has been included that should be omitted, or what has been omitted that should be included?

Beyond the core values -Who are the 'qualifying employees'?

52. There are two alternatives for selecting those 'qualifying employees' to which, in addition to the core values of the employees' code, some of the restrictions and expectations of the members' code should apply.
53. The first is based on the approach taken to determining which posts in an authority are 'politically restricted' under section 3 of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989, and assumes that certain posts are senior or influential enough to warrant controls placed on the activities of postholders. Certain posts would be designated as qualifying employees.
54. The second is the delegation model, which would see qualifying employees selected on the basis that they perform functions delegated to them by elected members under section 101 of the Local Government Act 1972.

Consultation Question 17:

Should the selection of 'qualifying employees' be made on the basis of a "political restriction" style model or should qualifying employees be selected using the delegation model?

The model employees' code: values for qualifying employees

Compromising the impartiality of officers of the authority

55. A qualifying employee must not compromise, or attempt to compromise, the impartiality of anyone who works for or on behalf of the authority, either directly or as a response to pressure from others. A qualifying employee should not attempt to force employees to take action or change advice if doing so would prejudice their professional integrity.

Using your position improperly

56. A qualifying employee must not use, or attempt to use, their position improperly either for their or anybody else's advantage or disadvantage.

Considering advice provided to you and giving reasons

57. If a qualifying employee seeks advice, or advice is offered to them, on aspects of how the employees' code applies, the qualifying employee must have regard to this advice.

Personal interest

58. Qualifying employees must register, within 28 days of taking up their appointment, any interests set out in the categories below. This record of interest must be in writing, to the authority's monitoring officer or, in the case of a parish council, through the parish clerk.

59. The registration of interests protects the qualifying employee by giving early warning of any possible areas of conflict of interest and provides assurance to the public that the qualifying employee is acting transparently. Only registration of personal interests in areas where there are clear grounds for concern that such an interest could give rise to accusations of partiality in decision making and working practice of the authority are required.

60. These are:

- Your membership, or position of control or management, in bodies exercising functions of a public nature (that is, carrying out a public service, taking the place of a local or central governmental body in providing a service, exercising a function delegated by a local authority or exercising a function under legislation or a statutory power).
- Any business you might own or have a share in, where that shareholding is greater than £25,000 or have a stake of more than 1/100th of the value or share capital of the company.
- Any contracts between the authority and any company you have an interest in, as above.
- Any land or property in the authority's area in which you have a beneficial interest.

61. A qualifying employee may seek to exempt their personal interests from the register of interests if they consider, for instance that having this information on record might put themselves or others at risk. In such cases, the qualifying employee should discuss the matter with their monitoring officer.

Consultation Question 18:

Should the code contain a requirement for qualifying employees to publicly register any interests?

Consultation Question 19:

Do the criteria of what should be registered contain any categories that should be omitted, or omit any categories that should be included?

Prejudicial interest

62. A prejudicial interest is considered to be a matter which affects the qualifying employee's financial interest or relates to a licensing or regulatory matter in which he or she has an interest and where a member of the public, who knows the relevant facts, would reasonably think that his or her personal interest is so significant that it is likely to prejudice his or her judgement of the public interest.
63. A prejudicial interest in a licensing or regulatory matter may stem from a direct financial interest or from a more tangential interest, where for instance approval for a licence may affect a body with which the qualifying employee has a personal interest or will affect him or her personally.
64. Qualifying employees with a prejudicial interest should declare such an interest. Where possible, they should take steps to avoid influential involvement in the matter. Where this is not possible, their prejudicial interest should be made clear.

Consultation Question 20:

Does the section of the employees' code which will apply to qualifying employees capture all pertinent aspects of the members' code. Have any been omitted?

Consultation Question 21:

Does the section of the employees' code which will apply to qualifying employees place too many restrictions on qualifying employees? Are there any sections of the code that are not necessary?

Contractors, partners and part time staff

65. Local authorities have an increasingly complex relationship with the private sector in its work with contractors, partners and part time staff. We consider that rather than attempt to determine centrally when and when not to apply the employees' code not just to local government employees, but those working on behalf of local government, it will be for local authorities themselves to decide, in agreeing contracts, partnership agreements or terms and conditions of employment, if and how the employees' code, in whole or in part, should apply.

Parish councils

66. The members' code applies to parish councillors as well as members of larger authorities, and it seems reasonable therefore for the ethical framework of the employees' code to apply to parish council employees. We recognise that the environment that parish councillors operate within is different to that of larger authorities and are conscious that what is considered to be a reasonable expectation in the employees' code for larger councils, may prove to be difficult for parish councils.

67. That being the case, we would welcome responses from parish councils on any particular aspect of the employees' code that might present difficulties and how those difficulties could be overcome.

Consultation Question 22:

Should the employees' code extend to employees of parish councils?

Legislative context

68. Section 82(7) of the Local Government Act 2000, provides that the provisions of a code made under section 82(1) of that Act will be deemed to be incorporated in employees' terms and conditions of employment.

DAVID CARTER
Strategic Director of
Performance and
Development

Shire Hall
Warwick

27 October 2008